arXiv:1511.02773v1 [math.GM] 4 Nov 2015

(m,n)-Semihyperrings and an Algebra of Fuzzy (m,n)-Semihyperrings

Syed Eqbal Alam [*], Sultan Aljahdali [†], Nisar Hundewale [‡]
College of Computers and Information Technology
Taif University - Taif
Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Abstract

We propose a new class of algebraic structure named as (m, n)-*semihyperring* which is a generalization of usual *semihyperring*. We define the basic properties of (m, n)-semihyperring like identity elements, weak distributive (m, n)-semihyperring, zero sum free, additively idempotent, hyperideals, homomorphism, inclusion homomorphism, congruence relation, quotient (m, n)-semihyperring etc. We propose some lemmas and theorems on homomorphism, congruence relation, quotient (m, n)-semihyperring, etc and prove these theorems. We further extend it to introduce the relationship between fuzzy sets and (m, n)-semihyperrings and propose fuzzy hyperideals and homomorphism theorems on fuzzy (m, n)-semihyperrings and the relationship between fuzzy (m, n)-semihyperrings and the usual (m, n)-semihyperrings.

Keywords: (m, n)-semihyperring, hyperoperation, hyperideal, homomorphism, congruence relation, fuzzy (m, n)-semihyperring, fuzzy hyperideals

1 Introduction

A semihyperring is essentially a semiring in which addition is a hyperoperation [8]. Semihyperring is in active research for a long time. Vougiouklis [17] generalize the concept of hyperring $(\mathcal{R}, \oplus, \odot)$ by dropping the reproduction axiom where $\oplus$ and $\odot$ are associative hyper operations and $\odot$ distributes over $\oplus$ and named it as semihyperring. Chaopraknoi, Hobuntud and Pianskool [1] studied semihyperring with zero. Davvaz and Poursalavati [30] introduced the matrix representation of polygroups over hyperring and also

[*] syed.eqbal@ieee.org
[†] aljahdali@tu.edu.sa
[‡] nisar@computer.org

over semihyperring. Semihyperring and its ideals are studied by Ameri and Hedayati [18].

Zadeh [26] introduced the notion of a fuzzy set that is used to formulate some of the basic concepts of algebra. It is extended to fuzzy hyperstructures, now a days fuzzy hyperstructure is a fascinating research area. Davvaz introduced the notion of fuzzy subhypergroups in [30], Ameri and Nozari [28] introduced fuzzy regular relations and fuzzy strongly regular relations of fuzzy hyperalgebras and also established a connection between fuzzy hyperalgebras and algebras. Fuzzy subhypergroup is also studied by Cristea [29]. Fuzzy hyperideals of semihyperrings are studied by [8, 13, 16].

The generalization of Krasner hyperring is introduced by Mirvakili and Davvaz [23] that is named as Krasner (m, n) hyperring. In [19] Davvaz studied the fuzzy hyperideals of the Krasner (m, n)-hyperring. Generalization of hyperstructures are also studied by [2, 8, 20, 21].

In this paper, we introduce the notion of the generalization of usual semihyperring and called it as (m, n)-semihyperring and set fourth some of its properties, we also introduce fuzzy (m, n)-semihyperring and its basic properties and the relation between fuzzy (m, n)-semihyperring and its associated (m, n)-semihyperring.

The paper is arranged in the following fashion:

Section 2 describes the notations used and the general conventions followed. Section 3 deals with the definitions of (m, n)-semihyperring, weak distributive (m, n)-semihyperring, hyperadditive and multiplicative identity elements, zero, zero sum free, additively idempotent and some examples of (m, n)-semihyperrings.

Section 4 describes the properties of (m, n)-semihyperring. This section deals with the definitions of hyperideals, homomorphism, congruence relation, quotient of (m, n)-semihyperring and also the theorems based on these definitions.

Section 5 deals with the fuzzy (m, n)-semihyperrings, fuzzy hyperideals and homomorphism theorems on (m, n)-semihyperrings and fuzzy (m, n)-semihyperrings.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a non-empty set and $\mathcal{P}^*(\mathcal{H})$ be the set of all non-empty subsets of $\mathcal{H}$. A hyperoperation on $\mathcal{H}$ is a map $\sigma : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{P}^*(\mathcal{H})$ and the couple $(\mathcal{H}, \sigma)$ is called a *hypergroupoid*. If A and B are non-empty subsets of $\mathcal{H}$, then we denote $A\sigma B = \bigcup_{a\in A, b\in B} a\sigma b$, $x\sigma A = \{x\}\sigma A$ and $A\sigma x = A\sigma\{x\}$.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a non-empty set, $\mathcal{P}^*$ be the set of all non-empty subsets of $\mathcal{H}$ and a mapping $f : \mathcal{H}^m \to \mathcal{P}^*(\mathcal{H})$ is called an *m-ary hyperoperation* and m is called the *arity of hyperoperation* [2].

A hypergroupoid $(\mathcal{H}, \sigma)$ is called a *semihypergroup* if for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{H}$ we have $(x\sigma y)\sigma z = x\sigma(y\sigma z)$ which means that

$$\bigcup_{u \in x\sigma y} u\sigma z = \bigcup_{v \in y\sigma z} x\sigma v.$$

Let f be an m-ary hyperoperation on $\mathcal{H}$ and $A_1, A_2, \ldots A_m$ subsets of $\mathcal{H}$. We define

$$f(A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_m) = \bigcup_{x_i \in A_i} f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Definition 2.1. $(\mathcal{H}, \oplus, \otimes)$ is a semihyperring which satisfies the following axioms:

(i) $(\mathcal{H}, \oplus)$ is a semihypergroup

(ii) $(\mathcal{H}, \otimes)$ is a semigroup and

(iii) $\otimes$ distributes over $\oplus$
$x \otimes (y \oplus z) = (x \otimes y) \oplus (x \otimes z)$ and
$(y \oplus z) \otimes x = (y \otimes x) \oplus (z \otimes x)$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{H}$ [1].

Example 2.2. Let $(\mathcal{H}, +, \times)$ be a semiring, we define

(i) $x \oplus y =< x, y >$

(ii) $x \otimes y = x \times y$

Then $(\mathcal{H}, \oplus, \otimes)$ is a semihyperring.

An element 0 of a semihyperring $(\mathcal{H}, \oplus, \otimes)$ is called a *zero* of $(\mathcal{H}, \oplus, \otimes)$ if $x \oplus 0 = 0 \oplus x = \{x\}$ and $x \otimes 0 = 0 \otimes x = 0$ [1].

The set of integers is denoted by $\mathbb{Z}$, with $\mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\mathbb{Z}_-$ denoting the sets of positive integers and negative integers respectively. Elements of the set $\mathcal{H}$ are denoted by x_i, y_i where $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

We use following general convention as followed by [5, 12, 3, 13]:

The sequence x_i, x_{i+1}, ..., x_m is denoted by x_i^m.

The following term:

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_i, y_{i+1}, \ldots, y_j, z_{j+1}, \ldots, z_m) \tag{1}$$

is represented as:

$$f(x_1^i, y_{i+1}^j, z_{j+1}^m) \tag{2}$$

In the case when $y_{i+1} = \ldots = y_j = y$, then (2) is expressed as:

$$f(x_1^i, \overset{(j-i)}{y}, z_{j+1}^m)$$

Definition 2.3. A non-empty set $\mathcal{H}$ with an m-ary hyperoperation $f : \mathcal{H}^m \to \mathcal{P}^*(\mathcal{H})$ is called an *m-ary hypergroupoid* and is denoted as $(\mathcal{H}, f)$. An m-ary hypergroupoid $(\mathcal{H}, f)$ is called an *m-ary semihypergroup* if and only if the following associative axiom holds :

$$f(x_1^i, f(x_i^{m+i-1}), x_{m+i}^{2m-1}) = f(x_1^j, f(x_j^{m+j-1}), x_{m+j}^{2m-1})$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ and $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{2m-1} \in \mathcal{H}$ [2].

Definition 2.4. Element e is called *identity element* of hypergroup $(\mathcal{H}, f)$ if

$x \in f(\underbrace{e, \ldots, e}_{i-1}, x, \underbrace{e, \ldots, e}_{n-i})$

for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$ [2].

Definition 2.5. A non empty set $\mathcal{H}$ with an n-ary operation g is called an *n-ary groupoid* and is denoted by $(\mathcal{H},g)$ [3].

Definition 2.6. An n-ary groupoid $(\mathcal{H}, g)$ is called an *n-ary semigroup* if g is associative, i.e.,

$$g(x_1^i, g(x_i^{n+i-1}), x_{n+i}^{2n-1}) = g(x_1^j, g(x_j^{n+j-1}), x_{n+j}^{2n-1})$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{2n-1} \in \mathcal{H}$ [3].

3 Definitions and Examples of (m, n)-Semihyperring

Definition 3.1. $(\mathcal{H}, f, g)$ is an (m, n)-semihyperring which satisfies the following axioms:

(i) $(\mathcal{H}, f)$ is a m-ary semihypergroup

(ii) $(\mathcal{H}, g)$ is an n-ary semigroup and

(iii) g is distributive over f i.e.,
$g(x_1^{i-1}, f(a_1^m), x_{i+1}^n) = f(g(x_1^{i-1}, a_1, x_{i+1}^n), \ldots, g(x_1^{i-1}, a_m, x_{i+1}^n))$.

Remark 3.2. An (m, n)-semihyperring is called *weak distributive* if it satisfies Definition 3.1 (i), (ii) and the following:
$g(x_1^{i-1}, f(a_1^m), x_{i+1}^n) \subseteq f(g(x_1^{i-1}, a_1, x_{i+1}^n), \ldots, g(x_1^{i-1}, a_m, x_{i+1}^n))$.

Remark 3.2 is generalization of [4].

Example 3.3. Let $\mathbb{Z}$ be the set of all integers. Let the binary hyperoperation $\oplus$ and an n-ary operation g on $\mathbb{Z}$ which are defined as follows:
$x_1 \oplus x_2 = \{x_1, x_2\}$ and
$g(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i$.
Then $(\mathbb{Z}, \oplus, g)$ is called a $(2, n)$-*semihyperring*.

Example 3.3 is generalization of Example 1 of [8].

Definition 3.4. Let e be the *hyper additive identity element* of hyperoperation f and e' be *multiplicative identity element* of operation g then

$$x \in f(\underbrace{e, \ldots, e}_{i-1}, x, \underbrace{e, \ldots, e}_{m-i})$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$ and

$$y = g(\underbrace{e', \ldots, e'}_{j-1}, y, \underbrace{e', \ldots, e'}_{n-j})$$

for all $y \in \mathcal{H}$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Definition 3.5. An element $\mathbf{0}$ of an (m, n)-semihyperring $(\mathcal{H}, f, g)$ is called a *zero* of $(\mathcal{H}, f, g)$ if

$$f(\underbrace{\mathbf{0}, \ldots, \mathbf{0}}_{m-1}, x) = f(x, \underbrace{\mathbf{0}, \ldots, \mathbf{0}}_{m-1}) = x$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

$$g(\underbrace{\mathbf{0}, \ldots, \mathbf{0}}_{n-1}, y) = g(y, \underbrace{\mathbf{0}, \ldots, \mathbf{0}}_{n-1}) = \mathbf{0}$$

for all $y \in \mathcal{H}$.

Remark 3.6. Let $(\mathcal{H}, f, g)$ be an (m, n)-semihyperring and e and e' be hyper additive identity and multiplicative identity elements respectively, then we can obtain the additive hyper operation and multiplication as follows:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = f(x, \underbrace{e, \ldots, e}_{m-2}, y)$$

and $x \times y = g(x, \underbrace{e', \ldots, e'}_{n-2}, y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$.

Definition 3.7. Let $(\mathcal{H}, f, g)$ be an (m, n)-semihyperring.

(i) (m, n)-semihyperring $(\mathcal{H}, f, g)$ is called *zero sum free* if and only if $\mathbf{0} \in f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m)$ implies $x_1 = x_2 =, \ldots, = x_m = \mathbf{0}$.

(ii) (m, n)-semihyperring $(\mathcal{H}, f, g)$ is called *additively idempotent* if $(\mathcal{H}, f)$ be a m-ary semihypergroup, i.e. if $f(x, x, \ldots, x) \in x$.

4 Properties of (m, n)-Semihyperring

Definition 4.1. Let $(\mathcal{H}, f, g)$ be an (m, n)-semihyperring.

(i) An m-ary sub-semihypergroup $\mathcal{R}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is called an (m, n)-*sub-semihyperring* of $\mathcal{H}$ if $g(a_1^n) \in \mathcal{R}$, for all $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in \mathcal{R}$.

(ii) An m-ary sub-semihypergroup $\mathcal{I}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is called

(a) a *left hyperideal* of $\mathcal{H}$ if $g(a_1^{n-1}, i) \in \mathcal{I}, \forall a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1} \in \mathcal{H}$ and $i \in \mathcal{I}$.

(b) a *right hyperideal* of $\mathcal{H}$ if $g(i, a_1^{n-1}) \in \mathcal{I}, \forall a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1} \in \mathcal{H}$ and $i \in \mathcal{I}$.

If $\mathcal{I}$ is both left and right hyperideal then it is called as an *hyperideal* of $\mathcal{H}$.

(c) a left hyperideal $\mathcal{I}$ of an (m, n)-semihyperring of $\mathcal{H}$ is called *weak left hyperideal* of $\mathcal{H}$ if for $i \in \mathcal{I}$ and $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{m-1} \in \mathcal{H}$ then $f(i, x_1^{m-1}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ or $f(x_1^{m-1}, i) \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ implies $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{m-1} \in \mathcal{I}$.

Definition 4.1 is generalization of [24].

Proposition 4.2. A left hyperideal of an (m, n)-semihyperring is an (m, n)-sub-semihyperring.

Definition 4.3. Let $(\mathcal{H}, f, g)$ and $(\mathcal{S}, f', g')$ be two (m, n)-semihyperrings. The mapping $\sigma : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{S}$ is called a *homomorphism* if following condition is satisfied for all $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m$, $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n \in \mathcal{H}$.

$$\sigma(f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m)) = f'(\sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_2), \ldots, \sigma(x_m))$$

and

$$\sigma(g(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n)) = g'(\sigma(y_1), \sigma(y_2), \ldots, \sigma(y_n)).$$

Remark 4.4. Let $(\mathcal{H}, f, g)$ and $(\mathcal{S}, f', g')$ be two (m, n)-semihyperrings. The mapping $\sigma : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{S}$ for all $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m$, $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n \in \mathcal{H}$ is called an *inclusion homomorphism* if following relations hold:

$$\sigma(f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m)) \subseteq f'(\sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_2), \ldots, \sigma(x_m))$$

and

$$\sigma(g(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n)) \subseteq g'(\sigma(y_1), \sigma(y_2), \ldots, \sigma(y_n))$$

Remark 4.4 is generalization of [30].

Theorem 4.5. Let $(\mathcal{R}, f, g)$, $(\mathcal{S}, f', g')$ and $(\mathcal{T}, f'', g'')$ be (m, n)-semihyperrings. If mappings $\sigma : (\mathcal{R}, f, g) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{S}, f', g')$ and $\delta : (S, f', g') \longrightarrow (T, f'', g'')$ are homomorphisms, then $\sigma \circ \delta : (R, f, g) \longrightarrow (T, f'', g'')$ is also a homomorphism.

Proof. Omitted as obvious. □

Definition 4.6. Let $\cong$ be an equivalence relation on the (m, n)-semihyperring $(\mathcal{H}, f, g)$ and A_i and B_i be the subsets of $\mathcal{H}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. We define $A_i \cong B_i$ for all $a_i \in A_i$ there exists $b_i' \in B_i$ such that $a_i \cong b_i'$ holds true and for all $b_i \in B_i$ there exists $a_i' \in A_i$ such that $a_i' \cong b_i$ holds true [14].

An equivalence relation $\cong$ is called a *congruence relation* on $\mathcal{H}$ if following hold:

(a) for all $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m \in \mathcal{H}$; if $\{a_i\} \cong \{b_i\}$ then $\{f(a_1^m)\} \cong \{f(b_1^m)\}$, where $1 \leq i \leq m$ and,

(b) for all $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n \in \mathcal{H}$; if $x_j \cong y_j$ then $g(x_1^n) \cong g(y_1^n)$, where $1 \leq j \leq n$ [9].

Lemma 4.7. Let $(\mathcal{H}, f, g)$ be an (m, n)-semihyperring and $\cong$ be the congruence relation on $\mathcal{H}$ then

(i) if $\{x\} \cong \{y\}$ then

$$\{f(x, a_1^{m-1})\} \cong \{f(y, a_1^{m-1})\}$$

for all $x, y, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m \in \mathcal{H}$

(ii) if $x \cong y$ then following holds:

$$g(a_1^{i-1}, x, a_{i+1}^n) \cong g(a_1^{i-1}, y, a_{i+1}^n)$$

for all $x, y, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in \mathcal{H}$

Theorem 4.8. Let $(\mathcal{H}, f, g)$ be an (m, n)-semihyperring and $\cong$ be the congruence relation on $\mathcal{H}$. Then if $\{a_i\} \cong \{b_i\}$ and $\{x_j\} \cong \{y_j\}$ for all $a_i, b_i, x_j, y_j \in \mathcal{H}$ and $i, j \in \{1, m\}$ then the following is obtained:

for all $1 \leq k \leq m$

$$\{f(a_1^k, x_{k+1}^m)\} \cong \{f(b_1^k, y_{k+1}^m)\}$$

Proof. Can be proved similar to Lemma 4.7. □

Definition 4.9. Let $\cong$ be a congruence on $\mathcal{H}$. Then the *quotient* of $\mathcal{H}$ by $\cong$, written as $\mathcal{H}/\cong$, is the algebra whose universe is $\mathcal{H}/\cong$ and whose fundamental operation satisfy

$f^{\mathcal{H}/\cong}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) = f^{\mathcal{H}}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m)/\cong$

where $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m \in \mathcal{H}$ [9].

Theorem 4.10. Let $(\mathcal{H}, f, g)$ be an (m, n)-semihyperring and $\cong$ be the equivalence relation and strongly regular on $\mathcal{H}$ then $(\mathcal{H}/\cong, f, g)$ is also an (m, n)-semihyperring.

Definition 4.11. Let $(\mathcal{H}, f, g)$ be an (m, n)-semihyperring and $\cong$ be the congruence relation. The natural map $v_{\cong} : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}/\cong$ is defined by $v_{\cong}(a_i) = a_i/\cong$ and $v_{\cong}(b_j) = b_j/\cong$ where $a_i, b_j \in \mathcal{H}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$, $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Theorem 4.12. Let ρ and σ be two congruence relations on (m, n)-semihyperring $(\mathcal{H}, f, g)$ such that $\rho \subseteq \sigma$. Then $\sigma/\rho = \{(\rho(x), \rho(y)) \in \mathcal{H}/\rho \times \mathcal{H}/\rho : (x, y) \in \sigma\}$ is a congruence on $\mathcal{H}/\rho$ and $(\mathcal{H}/\rho)/(\sigma/\rho) \cong \mathcal{H}/\sigma$.

Proof. Similar to [15], we can deduce that σ/ρ is an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{H}/\rho$. Suppose $(a_i\rho)(\sigma/\rho)(b_i\rho)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $(c_j\rho)(\sigma/\rho)(d_j\rho)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Since σ is congruence on $\mathcal{H}$ therefore $f(a_1^m)\sigma f(b_1^m)$ and $g(c_1^n)\sigma g(d_1^n)$ which implies $f(a_1^m)\rho(\sigma/\rho)f(b_1^m)\rho$ and $g(c_1^n)\rho(\sigma/\rho)g(d_1^n)\rho$ respectively, therefore σ/ρ is a congruence on $\mathcal{H}/\rho$. □

Theorem 4.13. The natural map from an (m, n)-semihyperring $(\mathcal{H}, f, g)$ to the quotient $(\mathcal{H}/\cong, f, g)$ of the (m, n)-semihyperring is an onto homomorphism.

Definition 4.11 and Theorem 4.13 is generalization of [9].

Proof. let $\cong$ be the congruence relation on (m, n)-semihyperring $(\mathcal{H}, f, g)$ and the natural map be $v_{\cong} : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}/\cong$. For all $a_i \in \mathcal{H}$, where $1 \leq i \leq m$ following holds true:

$$v_{\cong} f^{\mathcal{H}}(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m) = f^{\mathcal{H}}(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m)/\cong$$

$$= f^{\mathcal{H}/\cong}(a_1/\cong, a_2/\cong, \ldots, a_m/\cong)$$

$$= f^{\mathcal{H}/\cong}(v_{\cong}a_1, v_{\cong}a_2, \ldots, v_{\cong}a_m)$$

In a similar fashion we can deduce for g, for all $b_j \in \mathcal{H}$, where $1 \leq j \leq n$:

$$v_{\cong} g^{\mathcal{H}}(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n) = g^{\mathcal{H}}(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n)/\cong$$

$$= g^{\mathcal{H}/\cong}(b_1/\cong, b_2/\cong, \ldots, b_n/\cong)$$

$$= g^{\mathcal{H}/\cong}(v_{\cong}b_1, v_{\cong}b_2, \ldots, v_{\cong}b_n)$$

So $v_{\cong}$ is onto homomorphism.
Proof is similar to [9]. □

5 Fuzzy (m, n)-Semihyperring

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a non-empty set. Then

(i) A fuzzy subset of $\mathcal{R}$ is a function $\mu : \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow [0, 1]$;

(ii) For a fuzzy subset μ of $\mathcal{R}$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, the set $\mu_t = \{x \in \mathcal{R} \mid \mu(x) \geq t\}$ is called the *level subset* of μ [19, 26, 27, 8].

Definition 5.1. A fuzzy subset μ of an (m, n)-semihyperring $(\mathcal{H}, f, g)$ is called a *fuzzy* (m, n)*-sub-semihyperring* of $\mathcal{H}$ if following hold true:

(i) $\min\{\mu(x_1), \mu(x_2), \ldots, \mu(x_m)\} \leq inf_{z \in f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m)} \mu(z)$,
for all $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m \in \mathcal{H}$

(ii) $\min\{\mu(x_1), \mu(x_2), \ldots, \mu(x_n)\} \leq \mu(g(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n))$,
for all $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in \mathcal{H}$.

Definition 5.2. A fuzzy subset μ of an (m, n)-semihyperring $(\mathcal{H}, f, g)$ is called a *fuzzy hyperideal* of $\mathcal{H}$ if the following hold true:

(i) $\min\{\mu(x_1), \mu(x_2), \ldots, \mu(x_m)\} \leq inf_{z \in f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m)} \mu(z)$,
for all $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m \in \mathcal{H}$,

(ii) $\mu(x_1) \leq \mu(g(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n))$, for all $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in \mathcal{H}$,

(iii) $\mu(x_2) \leq \mu(g(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n))$, for all $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\vdots$$

(iv) $\mu(x_n) \leq \mu(g(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n))$, for all $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in \mathcal{H}$.

Theorem 5.3. A fuzzy subset μ of an (m, n)-semihyperring $(\mathcal{H}, f, g)$ is a fuzzy hyperideal if and only if every non-empty level subset is a hyperideal of $\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Suppose subset μ is a fuzzy hyperideal of (m, n)-semihyperring $(\mathcal{H}, f, g)$ and μ_t is a level subset of μ.

If $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m \in \mu_t$ for some $t \in [0, 1]$ then from the definition of level set, we can deduce the following:

$$\mu(x_1) \geq t, \mu(x_2) \geq t, \ldots, \mu(x_m) \geq t.$$

Thus, we say that:

$$min\{\mu(x_1), \mu(x_2), \ldots, \mu(x_m)\} \geq t$$

Thus:

$$inf_{z\in f(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_m)}\mu(z) \geq min\{\mu(x_1),\mu(x_2),\ldots,\mu(x_m)\} \geq t.$$

So, we get the following:

$\mu(z) \geq t$, for all $z \in f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m)$.

Therefore, $f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) \subseteq \mu_t$.

Again, suppose that $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in \mathcal{H}$ and $x_i \in \mu_t$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then, we find that $\mu(x_i) \geq t$.

So, we obtain the following:

$$t \leq \mu_{x_i} \leq \mu(g(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)) \longrightarrow g(x_1^{i-1}, \mu_t, x_{i+1}^n) \subseteq \mu_t$$

Thus, we find that μ_t is a hyperideal of $\mathcal{H}$.

On the other hand, suppose that every non-empty level subset μ_t is a hyperideal of $\mathcal{H}$ is a hyperideal of $\mathcal{H}$.

Let $t_0 = \min\{\ \mu_{x_1}, \mu_{x_2}, \ldots, \mu_{x_n}\}$, for all $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in \mathcal{H}$.

Then, we obtain the following:

$$\mu(x_1) \geq t_0, \mu(x_2) \geq t_0, \ldots, \mu(x_n) \geq t_0$$

Thus,

$$x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in \mu_{t_0}$$

We can also obtain that:

$$f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) \subseteq \mu_{t_0}.$$

Thus,

$$min\{\mu(x_1),\mu(x_2),\ldots,\mu(x_m)\} = t_0 \leq inf_{z\in f(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_m)}\mu(z).$$

Again, suppose that $\mu(x_1) = t_1$. Then $x \in \mu_{t_1}$.

So, we obtain:

$$g(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in \mu_{t_1} \longrightarrow t_1 \leq \mu(g(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n))$$

Thus, $\mu(x_1) \leq \mu(g(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n))$.

Similarly, we obtain $\mu(x_i) \leq \mu(g(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n))$, for all $i \in \{1, n\}$.

Thus, we can check all the conditions of the definition of fuzzy hyperideal.

This proof is a generalization of [8]. □

Theorem 5.3 is a generalization of [25, 16, 8].

Jun, Ozturk and Song [22] have proposed a similar theorem on hemiring.

Theorem 5.4. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a non-empty subset of an (m, n)-semihyperring $(\mathcal{H}, f, g)$. Let μ_I be a fuzzy set defined as follows:

$$\mu_I(x) = \begin{cases} s & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{I}, \\ t & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $0 \leq t < s \leq 1$. Then μ_I is a fuzzy left hyper ideal of $\mathcal{H}$ if and only if $\mathcal{I}$ is a left hyper ideal of $\mathcal{H}$.

Following Corollary 5.5 is generalization of [8].

Corollary 5.5. Let μ be a fuzzy set and its upper bound be t_0 of an (m,n)-semihyperring $(\mathcal{H}, f, g)$. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) μ is a fuzzy hyperideal of $\mathcal{H}$.

(ii) Every non-empty level subset of μ is a hyperideal of $\mathcal{H}$.

(iii) Every level subset μ_t is a hyperideal of $\mathcal{H}$ where $t \in [0, t_0]$.

Definition 5.6. Let $(\mathcal{R}, f', g')$ and $(\mathcal{S}, f'', g'')$ be fuzzy (m,n)-semihyperrings and φ be a map from $\mathcal{R}$ into $\mathcal{S}$. Then φ is called *homomorphism* of fuzzy (m,n)-semihyperrings if following hold true:

$$\varphi(f'(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m)) \leq f''(\varphi(x_1), \varphi(x_2), \ldots, \varphi(x_m))$$

and

$$\varphi(g'(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n)) \leq g''(\varphi(y_1), \varphi(y_2), \ldots, \varphi(y_n))$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n \in \mathcal{R}$.

Theorem 5.7. Let $(\mathcal{R}, \mu_{f'}, \mu_{g'})$ and $(\mathcal{S}, \mu_{f''}, \mu_{g''})$ be two fuzzy (m,n)-semihyperrings and $(\mathcal{R}, f', g')$ and $(\mathcal{S}, f'', g'')$ be associated (m,n)-semihyperring. If $\varphi : \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is a homomorphism of fuzzy (m,n)-semihyperrings, then φ is homomorphism of the associated (m,n)-semihyperrings also.

Definition 5.6 and Theorem 5.7 are similar to the one proposed by Leoreanu-Fotea [21] on fuzzy (m,n)-ary hyperrings and (m,n)-ary hyperrings and Ameri and Nozari [28] proposed a similar Definition and Theorem on hyperalgebras.

References

[1] S. Chaopraknoi, S. Hobuntud and S. Pianskool, *Admitting a Semihyperring with Zero of Certain Linear Transformation Subsemigroups of $L_R(V,W)$ Part(ii)* , Thai Journal of Mathematics, Special Issue,(2008), 45–58.

[2] B. Davvaz and T. Vougiouklis, *n-ary Hypergroups*, Iranian Journal of Science and technology, Volume 30, No. A2,(2006), 165–174.

[3] W. A. Dudek, *Idempotents In n-ary Semigroups*, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, Volume 25 no-1 , (2001) 97–104.

[4] H. Hedayati and R. Ameri, *Construction of k-Hyperideals by P-Hyperoperations*, Journal of Applied Mathematics, No. 15, 75–89, (2005).

[5] Syed Eqbal Alam, Shrisha Rao and Bijan Davvaz, (m, n)-*Semirings and a Generalized Fault Tolerance Algebra of Systems* http://arxiv.org/abs/1005.4996

[6] J. Timm, *Kommutative n-Gruppen*, Dissertation, (1967), Hamburg.

[7] B. Davvaz and N. S. Poursalavati, *On Polygroup Hyperrings and Representations of Polygroups*, Journal of Korean Math. Soc., 36, (1999), No. 6, pp 1021–1031.

[8] B. Davvaz, *Fuzzy Hyperideals in Ternary Semihyperrings*, Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems, Vol. 6, No-4,(2009), pp 21–36.

[9] Burris, Stanley and Sankappanavar, H. P., *A Course in Universal Algebra*, Springer-Verlag, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 78, (1981).

[10] C. N. Hadjicostis, *Fault-Tolerant Computation in Semigroups and Semirings*, M.I.T M.Eng. Thesis, (1995), EECS Department, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

[11] CH. G. Massouros, *On The Semi-Sub-Hypergroups Of A Hypergroup*, International Journal of Math. and Math. Sci., Vol. 14, No. 2, (1991),293–304.

[12] Wieslaw A. Dudek and Vladimir V. Mukhin, *On topological n-ary semigroups*, Quasigroups and related systems, No. 3, (1996), 73–88.

[13] B. Davvaz and W. A. Dudek, Fuzzy n-ary groups as a generalization of Rosenfield's fuzzy groups, Journal of Multiple-Valued Logic and Soft Computing, Vol. 15, No. 5-6,(2009), 471–488.

[14] M.K. Sen and Utpal Dasgupta, *Some Aspects of GH-Rings*, Journal of Annals of the Alexandru Ioan Cuza University - Mathematics, Vol. LVI, publisher - Versita, Warsaw, (2010), 253–272.

[15] S. Kar and B. K. Maity, *Congruences on Ternary Semigroups*, Journal of the Chungcheong Mathematical Society Volume 20, No. 3, (2007).

[16] R. Ameri and H. Hedayati, *Homomorphism and quotient of fuzzy k-hyperideals*, Ratio Mathematica, No. 20, (2010).

[17] Thomas Vougiouklis, *On some representations of hypergroups*, Annales Scientifiques de l'Universite de Clermont, 95, Serie Mathematique, No. 26, pg. 21–29, (1990).

[18] R. Ameri and H. Hedayati, *On k-hyperideals of semihyperrings*, Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography, 10, No. 1, 41–54, (2007).

[19] B. Davvaz, *Fuzzy Krasner (m, n)-hyperrings*, Journal of Computers and Mathematics with Applications, No. 59, 3879–3891, (2010).

[20] B. Davvaz, P. Corsini and V. Leoreanu-Fotea, *Fuzzy n-ary subpolygroups*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, No. 57, 141–152, (2009).

[21] Violeta Leoreanu-Fotea, *A new type of fuzzy n-ary hyperstructures*, Information Sciences, No. 179, 2710–2718, (2009).

[22] Young Bae Jun, Mehmet Ali Ozturk and Seok Zun Song, *On fuzzy h-ideals in hemirings*, Information Sciences, 162, 211–226, (2004).

[23] S. Mirvakili and B. Davvaz, *Relations on Krasner (m, n)-hyperrings*, European Journal of Combinatorics, No. 31, 790–802, (2010).

[24] R. Ameri and M. M. Zahedi, *Hyperalgebraic Systems*, Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 6, 21–32, (1999).

[25] H. Hedayati and R. Ameri, *Fuzzy k-Hyperideals*, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol 2, No. 2, 247–256, (2005).

[26] L. A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Information and Control, Vol. 8, Issue 3, 338–353, (1965).

[27] Xueling Ma, Jianming Zhan, Bijan Davvaz and Young Bae Jun, *Some kinds of $(\in, \in \vee q)$-interval-valued fuzzy ideals of BCI-algebras*, Information Sciences, 178, 3738–3754, (2008).

[28] R. Ameri and T. Nozari, *Fuzzy Hyperalgebras*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, Vol. 61, Issue 2, 149–154, (2011).

[29] Irina Cristea, *On the Fuzzy Subhypergroups of Some Particular Complete Hypergroups(I)*, World Applied Sciences Journal, Vol. 7, 57–63, (2009).

[30] B. Davvaz, *Fuzzy Hv-groups*, Fuzzy sets and Systems, Vol. 101, No. 1, 191–195, (1999).